Public Document Pack

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Department of the Chief Executive

John Williams - Director of Democratic & Legal Services

Our ref: Your ref: Date: Contact Name: Telephone:01702 215000Fax:01702 215994E-mail:committeesection@southend.gov.ukDX 2812 Southend



Dear Councillor

CABINET COMMITTEE - MONDAY, 9TH JANUARY, 2017

Please find enclosed the following report(s) considered at the meeting of the Cabinet Committee held on Monday, 9th January, 2017 that were unavailable when the Agenda was printed.

Agenda No Item

4. **Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders - Various Locations (Pages 1 - 4)** Supplementary Appendix

Yours faithfully

Tim Row





This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1 Supplementary Details of representations received and Officer Observations relating to the Report on Traffic Regulation Orders

| Road Proposed By | Proposal | Comments | Officer Comment |
|---|--|--|---|
| Road Proposed By Salisbury Resident/Members | Proposal Introduce parking restrictions | Comments 7 letters of objection received. 1 objection received from Leigh Town Council Proposal will also prevent residents from parking, should be permits. parking not a problem so why is this proposed ? 10 letters of support received. Will resolve parking issues. Additional telephone calls received expressing concerns from residents in adjacent streets that the parking will be concentrated in their streets rather than "shared". | Officer Comment Proposal originally progressed via petition hor ever initial support appears to have been withdrawn by some properties who have submitted objections. Support marginally higher than opposition, Officers are concerned that this is acting in isolation contrary to the agreed policy and will displace parking into nearby streets resulting in further requests to deal with parking issues on a street by street basis. No evidenced safety grounds for proposal. Recommend Members consider the outcomes of the consultation which does not indicate a large majority in support of the proposal and the concerns of displacing parking. |

| Road | Proposed By | Proposal | Comments | Officer Comment |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Tyrone Road | Member | Amend existing | High level of comments received | The timing of the |
| and Fermoy | | hours of | including petition with | restriction was |
| Road | | operation from | approximately 3000 signatures | amended from 11am |
| | | 2pm to 3pm to | and 21 letters received opposed | to 12noon to 2pm to |
| | | 11am to 12 | to the proposal. Comments include | 3pm in 2006 to |
| | | noon. | Include | accommodate surgery patients. |
| | | | Inability to visit the surgery | The remaining |
| | | | without being able to park nearby | streets are restricted |
| | | | and cannot always guarantee an | between 11am to |
| | | | appointment time outside of the | 12noon. |
| | | | restricted hours. | |
| | | | | The road is of |
| | | | Will effectively make the surgery | adequate width to |
| | | | inaccessible for one hour each | accommodate |
| | | | day and at a time when we | parking, no known |
| | | | should be fully utilising available | traffic issues |
| | | | hours, this proposal will impact | resulting from any |
| | | | heavily on the surgery and the | parking in this |
| | | | patients. | residential street. No |
| | | | 7 lottors of support received and | evidenced safety |
| | | | 7 letters of support received and | issues. |
| | | | 83 pre-printed letters (65 of these are from the same properties ie | Recommend |
| | | | more than one resident has sent | Members consider |
| | | | in the letter). Comments include; | representations |
| | | | | and support. |
| | | | Less congestion, will stop parking | and cappere |
| | | | over driveways, will prevent roads | |
| | | | from being dangerous, will | |
| | | | prevent all day parking. Will | |
| | | | make the restriction for all roads | |
| | | | in the area the same time. | |
| Dale Road | Members and residents | Install junction protection | 3 letters received | The proposal is to install waiting |
| | residents | protection | 2 letters objecting to the proposal, | restrictions around |
| | | | comments include; | the junctions of |
| | | | | Crescent Road and |
| | | | Will impact on visitors and | Dynevor Gardens to |
| | | | tradesmen, restrictions at | provide visibility for |
| | | | Crescent Road end will move | road users. |
| | | | residents into Dale Road. | |
| | | | suggesting timed restrictions | Junction protection is |
| | | | instead. | being provided at all |
| | | | | junctions as and |
| | | | 1 letter of support, fully supports | when an issue is |
| | | | the measures and would like | identified. The |
| | | | more stringent measures and | standard length of 10 |
| | | | adequate enforcement. | metres is proposed, as recommended in |
| | | | 1 additional comment from Leigh | the Highway Code. |
| | | | Town Council offering no | and ingrinity bodd. |
| | | | objection | Timed restrictions at |
| | | | · | a junction are not |
| | | | | appropriate as |
| | | | | junctions should be |
| | | | | kept clear of parked |
| | | | | vehicles at all times. |
| | | | | veniores at an times. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Recommend to |
| | | | | |

| Road | Proposed By | Proposal | Comments | Officer Comment |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| Woodfield Road | Residents and Members | Amend existing waiting restrictions to reduced operational hours | 3 comments received; 2 letters of objection received Resident concerned that the reduction in operational hours will result in vehicles being parked over their driveway and requesting double yellow lines over access. Concerns that the reduction of operational hours will lead to reduced visibility for roundabout and Glen Road. 1 additional comment from Leigh Town Council offering no objection | The proposal is to provide residents with more opportunity to park while deterring commuter parking. the existing restrictions prohibit parking from 8am to 6pm Monday to Saturday and the proposal is to prohibit parking from 10am to 4pm Monday to Friday. While the concerns are understood, the authority has no powers to provide waiting restrictions for the purpose of protecting a private access and enforcement action is possible should motorists park over driveways. With regard to visibility concerns, the areas are both subject to a waiting restriction prohibiting parking at any time providing adequate visibility. The existing restrictions only operate part day/part week meaning parking is permitted outside of these times. Accident data has been checked and no accidents have been recorded at the junction including during times when the part day restriction is not operational. Recommend to proceed with proposal. |

| Road | Proposed By | Proposal | Comments | Officer Comment |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Lansdowne Avenue | Members | Amend traffic flow to one- way | 6 letters received; 5 comments supporting the proposal but raising concerns that speeds will increase and of increased journey times 1 comment objecting to the proposal stating that we need to set infrastructure and social policies. 1 additional comment from Leigh Town Council offering no objection 1 additional comment from Essex Police supporting the proposal but advising of concerns relating to increased speeds and requesting one-way traffic flows are supported with adequate signing. | The proposal was designed to meet residents desires to reduce vehicle conflict. Speeds may increase however the road is on a slight incline southbound which will naturally reduce speeds. Recommend proceed with proposal. |
| Cliffsea Grove | Members | Amend traffic flow to one- way | 3 comments received; 1 letter supporting proposal 1 letter supporting but suggesting the opposite direction 1 objection stating speed will increase and raising parking difficulties 1 additional comment from Leigh Town Council offering no objection 1 additional comment from Essex Police supporting the proposal but advising of concerns relating to increased speeds and requesting one-way traffic flows are supported with adequate signing. | The proposal was designed to meet residents desires to reduce vehicle conflict. Speeds may increase however the road is on a slight incline southbound which will naturally reduce speeds. Residents were consulted and supported the proposed direction of traffic. The proposal is not designed to address parking issues which are due to too many vehicles attempting to park in the limited availability. Recommend proposal. |